

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05766 01 OF 02 222209Z

70

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 H-02 NSC-05 OMB-01 EB-07 /073 W

----- 090961

R 221810Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4187

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

CINCLANT

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 5766

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: CA, MPOL, NATO

SUBJ: CANADIAN FORCES FOR NATO

REFS: A. OTTAWA 3947 171400Z OCT 75 (NOTAL)

B. STATE 250003 212025Z OCT 75

C. STATE 156825 030049Z JUL 75

D. USNATO 3471 271520Z JUN 75

SUMMARY THIS TELEGRAM CONTAINS OUR ANALYSIS OF CANADA'S  
FORCE PLANS 1975-1980 AS PRESENTED IN REPLY TO DPQ (75).  
CANADIAN REPLY, NOTING THAT DEFENSE STRUCTURE REVIEW IS STILL  
IN PROGRESS, PRESENTS FORCE TABLES AND FINANCIAL STATISTICS  
ONLY FOR 1976, WITH SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED  
AS DEFENSE REVIEW DEVELOPS. CANADA FAILS  
TO MEET 1975 NATO COMMITMENT BY SIX SACLANT-ASSIGNED ARGUS  
LONG-RANGE ASW AIRCRAFT, WITHDRAWN WITHOUT NATO NOTIFICATION,  
AND 12 CF-101 AIRCRAFT ASSIGNED TO CUSRPG, WHICH HAVE BEEN  
PLACED IN A "FLYABLE  
READY RESERVE" STATUS. COMMITMENTS FOR 1976 ARE IDENTICAL TO  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05766 01 OF 02 222209Z

THOSE FOR 1975, AND SIMILAR IN STRUCTURE TO CANADIAN NATO COMMIT-  
MENTS OVER PAST YEARS. MODERNIZATION PLANS ARE ALMOST UNIVERSALLY  
IN SUSPENSE PENDING DEFENSE REVIEW DECISIONS; HOWEVER, PLANS RE-  
PORTED IN DPQ(75) REPLY TO IMPROVE ANTI-ARMOR CAPABILITY OF BOTH

LAND AND AIR FORCES (TOW, MEDIUM-RANGE GUIDED WEAPONS AND BL-755 CLUSTER BOMBS) HAVE MADE SOME HEADWAY. INVESTMENT IN MAJOR EQUIPMENT IS NOW LESS THAN 6 PERCENT OF THE DEFENSE BUDGET. MILITARY PERSONNEL STRENGTH IS DOWN 5 PERCENT. DEFENSE SHARE OF GNP WILL CONTINUE TO DECLINE, TO 2.3 PERCENT IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.

COMMENT:

(A) DURING FORTHCOMING MULTILATERAL REVIEW, WE PLAN TO LET EUROPEAN ALLIES TAKE THE LEAD IN CRITICIZING LEVEL OF CANADA'S DEFENSE EFFORT, CONCENTRATING OUR REMARKS ON THE FUTURE: I.E., OUR HOPS FOR SPEEDY AND PRODUCTIVE DEFENSE REVIEW RESULTS. WE WILL ALSO QUESTION CANADA HOWEVER, CONCERNING ARGUS AND CF-101 REDUCTIONS.

(B) REVIEW ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED FOR OCTOBER 27, HAS BEEN RESCHEDULED FOR FRIDAY, OCTOBER 24. DESPITE THE SHORT NOTICE, WE WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENTS WASHINGTON MAY WISH US TO CONVEY AT MILTILATERAL REVIEW. FOR OUR PART, WE BELIEVE THAT AS A RESULT OF SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S RECENT VISIT AND THE JUNE BILATERALS IN BRUSSELS, THE CANADIANS UNDERSTAND US FEELING THAT CANADIAN DEFENSE EFFORT MUST BE IMPROVED. CANADA USEFULLY COULD HEAR THE SAME FROM THE ALLIES, INCLUDING THE SMALLER ONES. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON COMMENTS AND/OR GUIDANCE. END SUMMARY

1. LAND FORCES. CANADA IS MEETING ITS 1975 LAND FORCES COMMITMENT, ALTHOUGH STRENGTH OF MECHANIZED BRIGADE GROUP IN FRG HAS DECREASED TWO PERCENT SINCE LAST YEAR, TO A TOTAL OF 2,927 MEN. PLAN FOR 1976 IS IDENTICAL TO THAT FOR 1975, INCLUDING 4 CMBG (IN FRG), CANADIAN AIR-SEA TRANSPORTABLE COMBAT GROUP (ASSIGNED FOR REINFORCEMENT TO NORTHERN FLANK), FIRST COMBAT GROUP (SASIGNED CUSRPG FOR NORTH AMERICA EMPLOYMENT), AND ASSOCIATED TACTICAL AND TRANSPORT HELICOPTER UNITS. MEMORANDUM STATES THAT "IT IS NOT ANTICIPATED THAT MAJOR CHANGES WILL BE MADE DURING 1976" IN ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF CANADIAN LAND FORCES. FORCE TABLES LIST 5TH COMBAT GROUP (3300 MEN) AND CANADIAN AIRBORNE REGIMENT (950 MEN) UNDER "OTHER FORCES FOR NATO", WHICH MEMORANDUM STATES COULD PARTICIPATE WITH CUSRPG FORCES IN CANADA.

2. LAND FORCES MODERNIZATION. MEMORANDUM CONTAINS A CHART SHOW-  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05766 01 OF 02 222209Z

IN INTRODUCTION DATES FOR SIGNIFICANT REPLACEMENT ITEMS, EMPHASIZING THAT THESE ARE ESTIMATES ONLY AND "DEPENDENT ON THE OUTCOME OF CURRENT STUDIES AND FINACIAL APPROVAL." EQUIPMENT PLANS, LITTLE CHANGED FROM THOSE CONTAINED IN LAST YEAR'S DPQ RESPONSE, INCLUDE PROCUREMENT OF: BLOWPIPE AND ROLAND/RAPIER TYPE LOW-LEVEL AIR DEFENSE MISSILES (IN 1976 AND 1979, RESPECTIVELY); TOW A/T MILLILES (TOTAL OF 149 SYSTEMS TO BE PURCHASED BEGINNING IN 1977); A MEDIUM-RANGE ANTI-ARMOR WEAPON, TYPE UNSPECIFIED (REPLACING CARL GUSTAV), TO ENTER SERVICE IN 1979; AND REPLACEMENT OF 60 MM LIGHT MORTARS, STARTING IN 1978. NOTABLY ABSENT FROM ANY MENTION IN THE EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT CHART, AND IDEED FROM THE ENTIRE DPQ RESPONSE, IS ANY MENTION OF CENTURION TANK REPLACEMENT, PROCUREMENT OF HELICOPTER-MOUNTED ANTI-TANK WEAPONS, OR REPLACEMENT OF

ARMORED PERSONNEL CARRIERS. NO TABLES ON STATUS OF EQUIPMENT OR AMMUNITION APPEAR; HOWEVER, MEMORANDUM CONTAINS CUSTOMARY CANADIAN STATEMENT OF STOCKPILE POLICY DICTATING MAINTENANCE OF 30 DAYS' WARTIME STOCKS.

3. MARITIME FORCES. CANADA FAILS TO MEET 1975 COMMITMENT OF ARGUS LONG-RANGE MARITIME PATROL AIRCRAFT. RESPONSE TO DPQ(74) SHOWED A TOTAL OF 32 ARGUS COMMITTED TO NATO (26 FOR SACLANT, 6 FOR CUSRPG). IN PRESENT RESPONSE, ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUS TO SACLANT HAS BEEN REDUCED TO 20. MEMORANDUM STATES THAT THIS REDUCTION IS EFFECTIVE 30 SEPTEMBER 1975, ADDING THAT ARGUS REPLACEMENT DECISION IS "PENDING". COMMENT: INTERNATIONAL STAFF (IS) IS HIGHLY INDIGNANT OVER THIS CHANGE. IN SEPTEMBER 9 LETTER TO CANADIAN DEFENSE ADVISOR CHILD, COPY OF WHICH WE RECENTLY RECEIVED, IS FORCE PLANNING DIRECTOR BRABAND STATES THAT WITHDRAWAL OF SIX AIRCRAFT IS "A SERIOUS FURTHER IMPAIRMENT OF NATO'S SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITY, WHICH WAS CONSIDERED UNSATISFACTORY EVEN BEFORE THE WITHDRAWAL. . . THE ACTION WAS TAKEN BY CANADA WITHOUT CONSULTATION WITH NATO WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN INDICATED BY AGREED PROCEDURES." WE AGREE WITH BRABAND'S STATEMENT; THE WITHDRAWAL CAME AS A SURPRISE TO US, AS WE PRESUME IT DID TO WASHINGTON, AND WE PLAN TO JOIN IS AND NETHERLANDS IN QUESTION CANADIANS CLOSELY ON THIS REDUCTION. END COMMENT. OTHER THAN THIS CHANGE, CANADA MEETS FORCE LEVEL COMMITMENT FOR 1975. THREE CATEGORY C-5 (MACKENZIE CLASS) DESTROYERS FORMERLY ALLOCATED TO SACLANT (BUT BASED ON WEST COAST) HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED INSTEAD TO CUSRPG; SACLANT HAS BEEN EARMARKED THREE C-5 (RESTIGOUCHE CLASS) DESTROYERS, PRESENTLY IN RESERVE, AS REPLACEMENTS. COMMITMENT OF FORCES FOR 1976 IS IDENTICAL TO  
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 05766 01 OF 02 222209Z

THAT FOR 1975.

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 05766 02 OF 02 222218Z

70

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 H-02 NSC-05 OMB-01 EB-07 /073 W

----- 091037

R 221810Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4188

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

CINCLANT

USCINCEUR  
USLOSACLANT  
USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 5766

4. MARITIME FORCES MODERNIZATION. MEMORANDUM CONTAINS LITTLE INFORMATION ON MODERNIZATION PLANS. OMEGA NAVIGATION SYSTEM HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN ALL OPERATIONAL SURFACE SHIPS, AND PROGRAM IS UNDERWAY TO DETERMINE WHETHER OMEGA OR NAVIGATION SATELLITE IS MORE SUITABLE SYSTEM FOR SUBMARINES. SHIPBOARD UHF COMMUNICATIONS REPLACEMENT PROGRAM WILL COMMENCE NEXT YEAR, RATHER THAN THIS YEAR AS EARLIER PLANNED. A MAJOR SYSTEMS TRIAL OF ECM/ECCM EQUIPMENT FOR SHIPS IS SCHEDULED FOR THE FALL OF 1975. MEMORANDUM ALSO SHOWS PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MK37 AND MK46 TORPEDOES. ALL ARGUS AIRCRAFT HAVE BEEN FITTED OUT WITH A SECOND AQA-5 JEZEBEL DISPLAY. CANADIAN SEA SPARROW IS NOW INSTALLED IN ALL FOUR 280 CLASS DESTROYERS. CANADA IS INVESTIGATING OPTIONS FOR "RECOGNIZED REQUIREMENT" FOR SURFACE-TO-SURFACE MISSILE, WHICH WILL BE RETROFITTED INTO 280 AND 265 CLASS DESTROYERS. SINCE DPQ RESPONSE CONTAINS NO INFORMATION FOR 1977 AND BEYOND, SHIPBUILDING PLANS (PARTICULARLY REPLACEMENT FOR ST. LAURENT AND RESTIGOUCHE CLASS DESTROYERS) ARE OMITTED.

5. AIR FORCES. CANADA FAILS TO MEET 1975 COMMITMENT BY ONE SQUADRON OF CF-101 AWX (12 AIRCRAFT) ASSIGNED TO CUSRPG. MEMOR-  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05766 02 OF 02 222218Z

ANDUM CONTAINS FOLLOWING STATEMENT ON THIS REDUCTION: "DUE TO RECENT FISCAL ECONOMIES, THE CF-101 ESTABLISHMENT WAS REDUCED FROM 56 TO 44 AIRCRAFT. HOWEVER, THE 12 DELETED CF-101S WILL BE MAINTAINED IN A STATE OF FLYABLE READY RESERVE WHICH COULD BE EQUATED TO AN A-1 READINESS POSTURE." COMMENT: WE PLAN TO QUESTION TERMINOLOGY "FLYABLE READY RESERVE" AT FORTHCOMING MULTILATERAL REVIEW. END COMMENT. ADDITIONALLY, CANADIAN BUFFALO TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT ASSIGNED CUSRPG HAVE BEEN GROUPED INTO A SINGLE SQUADRON WITH LOSS OF ONE AIRCRAFT. OTHERWISE, FORCE TABLES SHOW CANADA MEETING 1975 COMMITMENT, WHICH FOR NATO EUROPE INCLUDES 3 CF-104 SQUADRONS (36 AIRCRAFT) IN FRG (WITH 18 ADDITIONAL AIRCRAFT IN RESERVE) AND 2 CF-5 SQUADRONS (20 AIRCRAFT) IN CANADA, ONE OF WHICH IS ASSIGNED TO AMF(1) FOR NORTHERN FLANK REINFORCEMENT, AND THE OTHER OF WHICH COULD BE USED IN A SIMILAR ROLE IF OPERATIONAL SITUATION IN NORTH AMERICA SO PERMITS. MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING HOST NATION SUPPORT FOR CANADIAN-BASED AIRCRAFT ASSIGNED NATO EUROPE WERE SIGNED DURING 1975 WITH BOTH NORWAY AND DENMARK. CANADA'S COMMITMENT FOR 1976 IS IDENTICAL TO THAT FOR 1975.

6. AIR FORCES MODERNIZATION. MEMORANDUM STATES THAT REPLACEMENT AIRCRAFT FOR CF-104, CF-101 AND CF-5 FOR THE POST-1980 TIME FRAME ARE UNDER STUDY, AND THAT THE POSSIBILITY OF ONE "RELATIVELY SOPHISTICATED TWIN-ENGINE, TWIN-SEAT AIRCRAFT DESIGNED PRIMARILY FOR THE INTERCEPTOR ROLE BUT CAPABLE OF PERFORMING OTHER TACTICAL

COMBAT AIR ROLES" AS A REPLACEMENT FOR ALL THREE TYPES IS BEING INVESTIGATED. MEANWHILE, CANADIAN IMPROVEMENTS TO CF-104S CONTINUE: INSTALLATION OF GE M61-A1 CANNON IS UNDERWAY, RETROFIT WITH AIRBORNE RADAR WARNING SYSTEM WILL BE COMPLETED IN 1976, PROCUREMENT OF BL-755 CLUSTER BOMBS IS CONTINUING. CANADA HAS PROCURED FIVE C-130H AIRCRAFT, REPLACING C-130ES, WHICH HAVE BEEN CONVERTED TO NAVIGATION TRAINING ROLE. DEPLOYMENT OF BLOWPIPE LOW-LEVEL AIR DEFENSE MISSILES FOR FRG AIRFIELD DEFENSE IS PLANNED FOR COMPLETION IN 1976. MEMORANDUM STATES CANADA AGREES WITH NAFAG SG-12 CONCLUSION THAT E-3A BEST MEETS NATO'S NEEDS FOR DETECTION AND WARNING OF AIR ATTACK ON WESTERN EUROPE, NOTING CANADIAN CONTRIBUTION TO AWACS CONTRACT DEFINITION EFFORT. OTHER THAN ABOVE, MEMORANDUM CONTAINS LITTLE NEW DATA ON AIR FORCE MODERNIZATION PLANS, ALL OF WHICH ARE CLEARLY IN SUSPENSE PENDING REPLACEMENT AIRCRAFT DECISION.

7. PERSONNEL. AS A UNIFIED FORCE, CANADA DOES NOT REPORT STRENGTHS  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05766 02 OF 02 222218Z

BY SERVICE OR ELEMENT. TOTAL MILITARY STRENGTH AT END-1974 WAS 78,300, COMPARED WITH LAST YEAR'S DPQ ESTIMATE FOR END-1974 OF 80,800. ESTIMATES FOR 1975 AND 1976 ARE ESSENTIALLY SAME AS END-74 FIGURE. CIVILIAN PERSONNEL TOTAL HAS DECLINED BY ABOUT 1200, TO 38,300, AS FORECAST IN RESPONSE TO DPQ(74). ABOUT 12,000 LAND FORCES AND 4400 MARITIME FORCES PERSONNEL ARE IN UNITS ASSIGNED TO NATO. ALL SHIPS ARE MANNED AT 100 PERCENT OF AUTHORIZED WAR COMPLEMENT. RESERVE STRENGTH HAS RISEN BY ABOUT 2500 TO 34,000; HOWEVER, CANADA EXPECTS THAT AUGMENTATION WITHIN NATO-COMMITTED UNITS WOULD BE THROUGH USE OF REGULAR FORCE PERSONNEL. DECLINE IN TOTAL ACTIVE MILITARY STRENGTH IS NOT REPEAT NOT REFLECTED IN REDUCTIONS IN AVAILABILITY OF UNITS REPORTED IN THIS DPQ; HOWEVER, ARMED

FORCES STRENGTH AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL LABOR FORCE, AT 1.2 PERCENT, IS AN ALL-TIME LOW FOR CANADA AND WELL BELOW THE NATO EUROPE AVERAGE OF 2.6 PERCENT. DESPITE THE STRENGTH REDUCTION, MILITARY AND CIVILIAN PAY AND ALLOWANCES STILL STAND AT ABOUT 63 PERCENT OF THE DEFENSE BUDGET.

8. DEFENSE EXPENDITURES. DATA IS GIVEN ONLY FOR PAST YEARS AND FOR FY 1975/76, WHICH ENDS ON 31 MARCH 1976. FOR THE CURRENT YEAR, CANADA FORECASTS EXPENDITURES (NATO DEFINITION) OF U.S. \$3,194 MILLION -- A RISE OF 11.7 PERCENT IN MONETARY TERMS, BUT USING PRICE DEFLATOR OF 12.2 PERCENT (PROVIDED IN DPQ RESPONSE), A REAL DECREASE OF 0.4 PERCENT. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SHARE OF DEFENSE BUDGET FOR 1974/75 WAS 6.7 PERCENT, MAJOR EQUIPMENT SHARE 5.8 PERCENT. THESE SHARES ARE FORECAST TO RISE BY ABOUT ONE PERCENT IN 1975/76. DEFENSE EXPENDITURE SHARE OF GNP WILL BE 2.3 PERCENT; PER CAPITA DEFENSE EXPENDITURES ARE ABOUT \$130. BASED ON OECD STATISTICS, WE NOTE THAT 1975/76 TOTAL BUDGET EXPENDITURES WILL HAVE RISEN 16 PERCENT, AS OPPOSED TO DEFENSE EXPENDITURE RISE OF 11.7 PERCENT. DEFENSE SHARE OF FEDERAL BUDGET EXPENDITURES HAS DECLINED FROM 14.5 PERCENT IN 1969/70 TO 9.5 PERCENT THIS YEAR.

COMMENT: ALLIES (AS WELL AS IS) ARE CERTAIN TO NOTE VERY LOW  
LEVEL OF CANADIAN DEFENSE EFFORT, PARTICULARLY IN  
VIEW OF THEIR FEELING THAT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN  
CANADA IS RELATIVELY FAVORABLE. WE FEEL IT IS IMPORTANT FOR CAN-  
ADA TO HEAR THIS FROM EUROPEAN ELLIES, AND WE PLAN TO AVOID  
A LEADING ROLE IN CRITICIZING PAST AND PRESENT EFFORTS,  
EMPHASIZING OUR HOPE THAT DEFENSE STRUCTURE REVIEW WILL SET  
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 05766 02 OF 02 222218Z

CANADIAN FISCAL CONTRIBUTION ALONG A REMEDIAL PATH, AS WELL AS  
MEET RE-EQUIPMENT NEEDS. END COMMENT

9. PROPOSED USNATO POSITION FOR MULTILATERAL EXAMINATION. WE ARE  
ENCOURAGED BY REPORTING FROM OTTAWA AND WASHINGTON (REFS  
A AND B) FOLLOWING SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S SEPTEMBER VISIT. WE  
PLAN THEREFORE TO LISTEN CAREFULLY DURING CANADIAN PRESENTATION  
OF NATIONAL FORCE PLANS AT MULTILATERAL REVIEW FOR RESTATEMENT OF  
PRIORITIES RECENTLY OUTLINED BY MINISTER RICHARDSON (REF A), EN-  
DORSE THESE PRIORITIES AND, FOLLOWING LINE WE PURSUED DURING BI-  
LATERAL CONSULTATIONS ON DEFENSE STRUCTURE REVIEW (REFS C AND D),  
EXPRESS HOPE FOR A PRODUCTIVE AND SPEEDY RESULT. ADDITIONALLY,  
WE PLAN TO JOIN IN ALLIED QUESTIONING REGARDING ARGUS AND CF-101  
REDUCTIONS (PARAS 3 AND 5, ABOVE). SHOULD CANADIAN PRESENTATION  
INTRODUCE UNEXPECTED NEGATIVE FACTORS (INCLUDING TIMING) ON  
DEFENSE STRUCTURE REVIEW RESULTS, WE WILL BE PREPARED TO REAFFIRM  
OUR STRONG BELIEF IN THE IMPORTANCE OF CANADIAN LAND AND AIR  
FORCES IN EUROPE, A VIABLE LAND-BASED LONG-RANGE ASW CAPABILITY  
FOR CANADA, AND CANADA'S CONTRIBUTION TO NORTH AMERICAN DEFENSE.  
ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON GUIDANCE.BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 22 OCT 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** SmithRJ  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO05766  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197510101/abbrzmsg.tel  
**Line Count:** 304  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. OTTAWA 3947 171400Z OCT 75 (NOTAL) B. STATE 250003 212025Z OCT 75 C. STATE 156825 030049Z JUL 75 D. USNATO 3471 271520Z JUN 75  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** SmithRJ  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 28 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <28 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <02 OCT 2003 by SmithRJ>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CANADIAN FORCES FOR NATO  
**TAGS:** CA, MPOL, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO OTTAWA  
CINCLANT  
USCINCEUR  
USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006